Application No. 10/091.121 Amendment "C" duted November 22, 2005 Reply to Office Action maded September 7, 2005

REMARKS

The Office Action, mailed September 7, 2005, considered claims 2-21. Claims 12, 18, and 19 were rejected for non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,624,428. Claims 8-11 and 18-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 for being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 2-7 are allowed.

Initially, it will be noted that a terminal disclaimer has been filed with this paper, corresponding to U.S. Patent No. 6,624,828, such that all of the remaining non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting rejections are now overcome and moot.

Claim 8 has also been amended to clarify that the claimed computer-program product recited in claim 8 is in-fact directed to statutory subject matter. In particular, claim 8 has been amended to explicitly recite how the computer-program product has computer-executable instructions which are executed by the one or more processors of the computing system.

If the Examiner feels that any additional changes are needed to claim 8 or any other claim, to place the application in condition for allowance, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney to resolve these matters through a telephonic interview.

Dated this 22 day of November, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

RICK D. NYDEGGER Registration No. 28,651

JENS C. JENKINS

Registration No. 44,803

Attorney for Applicant

Customer No. 047973

RDN:JCJ:ppa 1946000001706V003